metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

David Benito-Garagorri,^a Karl Kirchner^a and Kurt Mereiter^b*

^aInstitute of Applied Synthetic Chemistry, Vienna University of Technology, Getreidemarkt 9/163, A-1060 Vienna, Austria, and ^bInstitute of Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Vienna University of Technology, Getreidemarkt 9/164SC, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

Correspondence e-mail: kurt.mereiter@tuwien.ac.at

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.011 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.124 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Tetraphenylphosphonium acetonitriletribromoferrate(II)

The title compound, $[P(C_6H_5)_4][FeBr_3(CH_3CN)]$, contains an anionic tetrahedral iron complex arranged in layers between $[P(C_6H_5)_4]^+$ cations. The Fe, one Br, one N and two C atoms have site symmetry *m*, and the P atom has site symmetry 2.

Comment

cis-[Fe(CO)₄Br₂], a readily available substance (Hieber & Bader, 1928; Robertson *et al.*, 2000), reacts with various nucleophiles with the release of CO and the consequent formation of iron complexes that are otherwise difficult to obtain. Using this substance, the title compound, (I), was obtained.



Compound (I) crystallizes in the uncommon space group Ama2, and contains an $[Fe(CH_3CN)Br_3]^-$ anion, with the divalent Fe^{II} atom (site symmetry m) in a tetrahedral coordination (Fig. 1). The mean Fe–Br bond length is 2.404 (3) Å (Table 1). In general, tetrahedral bond angles around Fe vary considerably, but the data for (I) show the expected trend that Br-Fe-Br angles are large and Br-Fe-N angles small. Only a very few $[M(CH_3CN)X_3]^-$ complexes (M = divalent transition metal and X = F, Cl, Br or I) have been studied to date by X-ray diffraction analysis. The only other iron compound reported so far contains an [Fe(CH₃CN)Cl₃]⁻ anion and an $(\eta^4$ -2,3-dimethylbutadiene)tricarbonylcobalt(I) cation (Macgregor et al., 1991). It features a geometry similar to the anion in (I), except that, as expected, the Fe-Cl bonds are some 0.15 Å shorter than the Fe-Br bonds in (I). Further anions comparable with (I) are $[Zn(CH_3CN)X_3]^-$ (X = Cl or Br; Yoshioka et al., 2001), [Co(CH₃CN)Cl₃]⁻ (e.g. Cotton et al., 1998; Hartung et al., 1992) and $[Co(CH_3CN)Br_2Cl]^-$ (Rabe & Müller, 2001), while Pd and Pt complexes of analogous composition have square-planar coordination (e.g. Muir et al., 1986).

The PPh₄ cation in (I) shows the usual geometric features. As pointed out by Müller (1980), PPh₄ cations tend to adopt approximate point symmetry $\overline{4}$ in the solid state and to form columns with intracolumnar P····P distances of 7–8 Å and eclipsed juxtaposition of the phenyl rings in order to gain stabilizing C-H- π interactions. PPh₄ cations are therefore Received 20 April 2006 Accepted 20 April 2006



Figure 1

A perspective view of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Atoms Fe, Br2, N, C1 and C2 are on a mirror plane. Atom Br1A is generated by the symmetry operation $(\frac{1}{2} - x, y, z)$ and the C11A and C21A rings by (-x, 1 - y, z).



Figure 2

A packing diagram for (I), viewed down c.

structure-directing and inclined to form tetragonal lattices. Such PPh₄ columns are indeed present in (I) along *b*, but instead of generating a tetragonal lattice, they adopt a layered orthorhombic arrangement which alternates with layers of $[Fe(CH_3CN)Br_3]^-$ anions (Fig. 2). A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Version 5.27; Allen, 2002) showed that this architecture combined with space group *Ama2* was encountered for three other structures with PPh₄ cations and anionic complexes similar in shape to $[Fe(CH_3CN)Br_3]^-$ (Bernard *et al.*, 2004; Finze *et al.*, 2004).

Experimental

cis-Fe(CO)₄Br₂ (1 g, 3.05 mmol) (Robertson *et al.*, 2000) was suspended in acetonitrile (50 ml) and stirred under a light Ar stream until CO evolution ended. The volume of the solution was reduced under vacuum to 10 ml, after which a solution of PPh₄Br (1.3 g, 3.05 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Upon addition of Et₂O

Z = 4

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C}_{24}{\rm H}_{20}{\rm P})[{\rm FeBr}_3({\rm C}_2{\rm H}_3{\rm N})]\\ M_r=676.00\\ {\rm Orthorhombic,}\ Ama2\\ a=22.3226\ (17)\ {\rm \AA}\\ b=16.5842\ (13)\ {\rm \AA}\\ c=7.2972\ (6)\ {\rm \AA}\\ V=2701.4\ (4)\ {\rm \AA}^3 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2003) $T_{\rm min} = 0.25, T_{\rm max} = 0.40$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$
$wR(F^2) = 0.124$
S = 1.01
2425 reflections
154 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
-

 $D_x = 1.662 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 5.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Block, colourless 0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.18 mm

7937 measured reflections 2425 independent reflections 1946 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.030$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}$

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.076P)^2 \\ &+ 2.3554P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.67 \ e \ Å^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.45 \ e \ Å^{-3} \\ Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983), \\ &with \ 1100 \ Friedel \ pairs \\ Flack \ parameter: \ 0.001 \ (17) \end{split}$$

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Fe-N	2.090 (10)	Fe-Br2	2.4005 (17)
Fe-Br1	2.4058 (10)		
N-Fe-Br1	103.50 (13)	Br1-Fe-Br1 ⁱ	116.55 (7)
N-Fe-Br2	110.3 (2)	Br1-Fe-Br2	111.13 (4)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, y, z.

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.93 Å) and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{carrier})$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT*; data reduction: *SAINT*, *SADABS* and *XPREP* (Bruker, 2003); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Financial support by the FWF Austrian Science Fund (project No. P16600-N11) is gratefully acknowledged. DBG thanks the Basque Government (Eusko Jaurlaritza/Gobierno Vasco) for a doctoral fellowship.

References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

- Bernard, R., Cornu, D., Perrin, M., Scharff, J.-P. & Miele, P. (2004). J. Organomet. Chem. 689, 2581–2585.
- Bruker (2003). SMART (Version 5.625), SAINT (Version 6.36), SADABS (Version 2.10), SHELXTL (Version 6.10) and XPREP. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Cotton, F. A., Daniels, L. M., Jordan, G. T. IV & Murillo, C. A. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 589–597.
- Finze, M., Bernhardt, E., Willner, H. & Lehmann, C. W. (2004). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 4160–4163.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hartung, J., Beyer, L., Gutierrez-Puebla, E., Fernandez, V. & Olk, B. (1992). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 47, 183–186.
- Hieber, W. & Bader, G. (1928). Chem. Ber. 61, 1717-1722.
- Macgregor, S. A., Yellowlees, L. J. & Welch, A. J. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 536– 539.
- Muir, M. M., Gomez, G. M. & Muir, J. A. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1699–1701. Müller, U. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1075–1081.
- Rabe, S. & Müller, U. (2001). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 627, 742-746.
- Robertson, E. W., Wilkin, O. M. & Young, N. A. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 1493– 1502.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yoshioka, K., Kikuchi, H., Mizutani, J. & Matsumoto, K. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* **40**, 2234–2239.